It was to avoid the argument, why the case is still open—though notwithstanding the above note, I wait until a reply has been received from Mr. Whitaker. The journal does not mention the fact, but it is denied by no less than I know of, that a letter from Mr. Whitaker, refusing to withdraw a charge as despicable to withdraw his son from college, was read and discussed at an regular meeting, about three weeks ago.

"No motion was made but in its place."

According to the rule of practice here, as well as elsewhere, the privilege to move for a reconsideration of a vote, belongs exclusively to those who form the majority. As they did not move in the matter, and let two or three meetings pass without doing so, my friends and myself consider the matter as brought to a close.

Now, what else could I do, but to appeal to the well known impartiality of the Faculty?

I have heard it urged this way, that they voted against the motion on a account of the errors or committee I had made. But it seems to me, that cannot logically remove them the faculty charges, since first vote upon the merits of the case. If the charge is proved, let the delinquent be punished. If the charge is not proved, then it is time to consider whether the Faculty...