such fine, in the exercise of authority, enforcement of discipline, as the only expedient college mode of government that can be employed. I have seen numerous instances, where patient forbearance kindled admonishment, a steady reproof, even a slight, prompt recommendation, had resulted in saving the student from disaster and ruin. And if an administration is to be judged by its results, surely the present condition of the University must be allowed to be very satisfactory. The number of students has been considerably more than doubled since I came here; instead of increasing disorders, there has been a most remarkable improvement in the general department.

As to the particular grievances of Mr. Meigs, I cannot but think them mostly arising from his own peculiar faults of temperament and character. In the winter of '94, I could not sustain him, as I found him before, for I was not made to endure, without dismay, the weight of mismanagement. The weight, however, the weight above all, is, again, when we did make the formal issue with Mr. Meigs had no right to foist all the judgment of the faculty upon us to execute his sentence. Mr. Meigs feels as little to engage the notice of those who voted against changing Whitaker, alleging that they